



DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

: :	COMMISSIONER OF PATERIS AND	I LOUD CHANGE IN
	Washington, D.C. 20231	Ca

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. ·TRAC-100FWC-09/107,643 06/30/98 TRACY **EXAMINER** QM12/0509 POLUTTA, M EDWARD D MANZO COOK MCFARRON & MANZO PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 200 WEST ADAMS STREET SUITE 2850 3761 CHICAGO IL 60606 DATE MAILED: 05/09/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/107,643

Applicames)

Tracy

Examiner

Mark O. Polutta

Group Art Unit 3761



TH	E PERI	OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
	a) 💢	expires3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
	ь) 🗀	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.	
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.		
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).		
Ap	olicant	's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Apr 19, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, T deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	
X	The pr	oposed amendment(s):	
	□ wi	Il be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
	X wi	Il not be entered because:	
	X	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.	
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
	NO	TE: Applicant presents a declaration which is not seasonably presented. See MPEP 715.09 There is no	
		convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not	
	□ A _I	oplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	Newl separ	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	
		iffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because:	
		affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by xaminer in the final rejection.	
X	For p	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	
		s allowed:	
		ns objected to:	
	Claim	s rejected: 7-10	
		proposed drawing correction filed on has not been approved by the Examiner.	
	Note	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
X	Othe	n earlier presented. Applicant's arguments towards the benefit of the filing date of Design Application for properties of the diaper are not timely presented.	
		MARK O. POLUTTA PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3761	